


Plaintiffs' Exhibit B



Lightning talk | Election Integrity Partnership

AtlanticCouncil 64K subscribers Subscribe 2 Share Save

105 views Jun 24, 2021
The Election Integrity Partnership (EIP) was a coalition of research institutions that worked together to detect and mitigate viral misinformation and disinformation and to support the real-time information exchange between the research community, election officials, government agencies, civil society organizations, and social media platforms. The partnership was constructed to monitor and document falsehoods about the election and trace how misinformation and disinformation coalesced around certain narratives and trends. In this lightning talk, the leaders of the EIP will explain the history behind its creation and operation and discuss what may or may not be replicable for future elections in the United States and around the world.

Speakers:
Isabella Garcia Camargo, Consultant, Krebs Stamos Group
Alex Stamos, Founding Partner, Krebs Stamos Group

Key moments View all

1:04 The Election Integrity Partnership
3:32 Examples of Election Disinformation
6:07 The Long Fuse

Show less

Hacking the Election
AtlanticCouncil.org
91K views • 5 years ago
1:28:43

What happened: Disinformation in 2020 elections



LIVE: Kevin McCarthy Speaker House Vote - On Day 2 GOP Rep. McCarthy loses 8th round vote
ABC News
34K watching
LIVE



Navigating Pakistan's national security challenges
AtlanticCouncil
12K views • Streamed 2 years ago



LIVE BREAKING: House votes for Speaker as McCarthy fails to win gavel DAY 3
Brian Tyler Cohen
31K watching
LIVE



Learning from dirty jobs | Mike Rowe
TED
7.1M views • 13 years ago



How can Ukraine prevent a winter stalemate?
AtlanticCouncil
4.5K views • Streamed 2 weeks ago



The Super Mario Effect - Tricking Your Brain into Learning More | Mark Rober | TEDxPenn
TEDx Talks
12M views • 4 years ago




Don't Wait for the Next War: A Discussion with Gen. Wesley Clark
AtlanticCouncil
49K views • Streamed 8 years ago



A next-generation agenda for US-ROK-Japan trilateral cooperation
AtlanticCouncil
319 views • Streamed 2 weeks ago



'This Is Just Incredible': New Revelations From Deposition Transcripts Release
MSNBC
1.3M views • 6 days ago
New



Why did Russia attack Ukraine and what are its geopolitical implications?
ANU TV
1.7M views • 5 months ago



Rethinking Eritrea
AtlanticCouncil
57K views • 6 years ago



Top 20 Hilarious Kate McKinnon SNL Performances
MsMojo
2.6M views • 1 year ago



Brooks and Capehart on the year in politics and what's ahead in 2023
PBS NewsHour
231K views • 5 days ago
New

Debbie Stabenow talks about decision to not run for re-election in 2024
Click On Detroit | Local 4 | WDIV
147 views • 58 minutes ago

AUDIO TRANSCRIPTION 1/10/2023

Page 1

1

2

3

4

5

AUDIO TRANSCRIPTION

6

IN RE: STATE OF MISSOURI, ET AL.

7

VS.

8

JOSEPH R. BIDEN, JR., ET AL.

9

CASE NO. 322CV01213

10

EVENT: ATLANTIC COUNCIL: LIGHTNING TALK: ELECTION

11

INTEGRITY PARTNERSHIP

12

JUNE 24, 2021

13

14

15

16 (Due to the quality of the recorded media, portions
17 were unable to be transcribed and include inaudible
18 portions. The transcript may also include
19 misinterpreted words and/or unidentified speakers.
20 The transcriber was not present at the time of the
21 recording; therefore, this transcript should not be
22 considered verbatim.)

23

24 TRANSCRIBED BY: MELISSA LANE

25

AUDIO TRANSCRIPTION 1/10/2023

Page 2

1 (CLIP: 1:38-3:19)

2 ALEX STAMOS: The election integrity
3 partnership started with our team in Stanford sending
4 a group of interns to go work with the -- the cyber
5 security and infrastructure security agency at the DHS
6 to work election security. And what these interns
7 found is, there's a lot of opportunity for them to
8 contribute to the technical components of election
9 security. They also found that there was a lack of
10 capability around election disinformation. This is
11 not because CISA didn't care about disinformation, but
12 at the time they lacked both kind of the funding and
13 the legal authorizations to go do the kinds of work
14 that would be necessary to truly understand how
15 election disinformation was operated.

16 So because of the feedback and the ideas
17 from this group, we were able to pull together pretty
18 quickly a project between four different institutions
19 to try to fill the gap of the things that the
20 government cannot do themselves. There are kind
21 of four major stakeholders that we operated with that
22 we worked beside at EIP. Our partners in government,
23 most particularly those in CISA and DHS, but also in
24 all of the local and state governments with whom we
25 operated with -- with the election integrity

AUDIO TRANSCRIPTION 1/10/2023

Page 3

1 infrastructure ISAC. We worked with civil society
2 groups such as NAACP, MITRE coming cause and the
3 healthy election project that worked at both MIT and
4 Stanford, and then we also worked with the major
5 platforms, Facebook, Twitter, YouTube, TikTok, Reddit,
6 Next Door and the like. I mean, some of those cases
7 we had agreements for access of data. In other cases,
8 we had to have individual analysts go work with them.

9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

AUDIO TRANSCRIPTION 1/10/2023

Page 4

1 (CLIP: 10:29-12:31)

2 ALEX STAMOS: We find very little evidence
3 that there's any foreign involvement at all. In fact,
4 the vast majority of election disinformation in 2020
5 came from Americans who had verified accounts and very
6 large follower accounts.

7 So as one of the big changes that we point
8 out in our report is that this information is much
9 less about massive amplification. It's honestly less
10 about things like algorithms and algorithmic
11 amplification. It's a much more important factor now
12 is that there are large follower account political
13 partisans who are spreading misinformation
14 intentionally, doing so in a multi-media context. So
15 they're doing so online, on social media, but they're
16 also doing so on cable news, doing so on the radio,
17 through a variety of different outlets and are able to
18 amplify their message and to motivate their followers
19 to go try find evidence of the incorrect claims that
20 they're making.

21 Where does this leave us for 2022 and 2024?
22 So the election integrity partnership was a project
23 just for 2020. We are looking at options for these
24 different groups to work together in the future
25 elections, but there is going to have to be work in

AUDIO TRANSCRIPTION 1/10/2023

Page 5

1 the federal government level to decide, one, who is in
2 the government is going to own disinformation as a
3 problem. That is something that for at least in the
4 American domestic context has not been figured out.
5 And second, what kind of role should the academic and
6 the NGO sector play here?

7 And one thing we're going to have to figure
8 out is, not just from a funding perspective, but from
9 a privacy and data access perspective. One of the
10 things that continues to happen is, we continue to get
11 tighter and tighter privacy laws being passed around
12 access to online social media data, and as long as
13 that is happening, we're going to have to take into
14 account those issues, and the need for academic
15 research when passing those laws.

16 So this is something that we're going to
17 continue to write about and talk about as we work with
18 our partners to figure out how the same kind of
19 function that the EIP filled in 2020, can be filled by
20 somebody in 2022 and 2024.

21 (Audio ended.)

22

23

24

25

AUDIO TRANSCRIPTION 1/10/2023

Page 6

1 CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER

2

3 I, Melissa J. Lane, Certified Court
4 Reporter of Missouri, Certified Shorthand Reporter of
5 Illinois and Registered Professional Reporter, do
6 hereby certify that I was asked to prepare a
7 transcript of proceedings had in the above-mentioned
8 case, which proceedings were held with no court
9 reporter present utilizing an open microphone system
10 of preserving the record.

11 I further certify that the foregoing pages
12 constitute a true and accurate reproduction of the
13 proceedings as transcribed by me to the best of my
14 ability and may include inaudible sections or
15 misidentified speakers of said open microphone
16 recording.

17 *Melissa J. Lane*



18

19 Melissa J. Lane, CCR, CSR, RPR

20

21

22

23

24

25

AUDIO TRANSCRIPTION 1/10/2023

A	2:10	4:15,16,16	future 4:24	4:14
ability 6:14	care 2:11	domestic 5:4	G	interns 2:4
able 2:17	case 1:9 6:8	Door 3:6	gap 2:19	2:6
4:17	cases 3:6,7	Due 1:16	go 2:4,13	involvement
above-me...	cause 3:2	E	3:8 4:19	4:3
6:7	CCR 6:19	EIP 2:22	going 4:25	ISAC 3:1
academic 5:5	CERTIFICATE	5:19	5:2,7,13	issues 5:14
5:14	6:1	election	5:16	J
access 3:7	Certified	1:10 2:2,6	government	J 6:3,19
5:9,12	6:3,4	2:8,10,15	2:20,22	JOSEPH 1:8
account 4:12	certify 6:6	2:25 3:3	5:1,2	JR 1:8
5:14	6:11	4:4,22	governments	JUNE 1:12
accounts 4:5	changes 4:7	elections	2:24	K
4:6	CISA 2:11,23	4:25	group 2:4,17	kind 2:12,20
accurate	civil 3:1	ended 5:21	groups 3:2	5:5,18
6:12	claims 4:19	ET 1:6,8	4:24	kinds 2:13
agency 2:5	CLIP 2:1 4:1	EVENT 1:10	H	L
agreements	coming 3:2	evidence 4:2	happen 5:10	lack 2:9
3:7	components	4:19	happening	lacked 2:12
AL 1:6,8	2:8	F	5:13	Lane 1:24
ALEX 2:2 4:2	considered	Facebook 3:5	healthy 3:3	6:3,19
algorithmic	1:22	fact 4:3	held 6:8	large 4:6,12
4:10	constitute	factor 4:11	honestly 4:9	laws 5:11,15
algorithms	6:12	federal 5:1	I	leave 4:21
4:10	context 4:14	feedback	ideas 2:16	legal 2:13
American 5:4	5:4	2:16	Illinois 6:5	level 5:1
Americans	continue	figure 5:7	important	LIGHTNING
4:5	5:10,17	5:18	4:11	1:10
amplific...	continues	figured 5:4	inaudible	little 4:2
4:9,11	5:10	fill 2:19	1:17 6:14	local 2:24
amplify 4:18	contribute	filled 5:19	include 1:17	long 5:12
analysts 3:8	2:8	5:19	1:18 6:14	looking 4:23
and/or 1:19	COUNCIL 1:10	find 4:2,19	incorrect	lot 2:7
asked 6:6	court 6:3,8	follower 4:6	4:19	M
ATLANTIC	CSR 6:19	4:12	individual	major 2:21
1:10	cyber 2:4	followers	3:8	3:4
Audio 1:5	D	4:18	information	majority 4:4
5:21	data 3:7 5:9	foregoing	4:8	making 4:20
authoriz...	5:12	6:11	infrastr...	massive 4:9
2:13	decide 5:1	foreign 4:3	2:5 3:1	mean 3:6
B	DHS 2:5,23	found 2:7,9	institut...	media 1:16
best 6:13	different	four 2:18,21	2:18	4:15 5:12
BIDEN 1:8	2:18 4:17	function	integrity	Melissa 1:24
big 4:7	4:24	5:19	1:11 2:2	6:3,19
C	disinfor...	funding 2:12	2:25 4:22	message 4:18
cable 4:16	2:10,11,15	5:8	intentio...	
capability	4:4 5:2	further 6:11		
	doing 4:14			

AUDIO TRANSCRIPTION 1/10/2023

microphone 6:9,15	perspective 5:8,9	role 5:5	1:17,24	Z
misident... 6:15	platforms 3:5	RPR 6:19	6:13	0
misinfor... 4:13	play 5:6	S	transcriber 1:20	1
misinter... 1:19	point 4:7	second 5:5	transcript 1:18,21	1:38-3:19
Missouri 1:6	political 4:12	6:14	6:7	2:1
6:4	portions 1:16,18	sector 5:6	TRANSCRI... 1:5	10:29-12:31 4:1
MIT 3:3	prepare 6:6	security 2:5	true 6:12	2
MITRE 3:2	present 1:20	2:5,6,9	truly 2:14	2020 4:4,23
motivate 4:18	6:9	sending 2:3	try 2:19	5:19
multi-media 4:14	preserving 6:10	Shorthand 6:4	4:19	2021 1:12
N	pretty 2:17	social 4:15	Twitter 3:5	2022 4:21
NAACP 3:2	privacy 5:9	5:12	U	5:20
necessary 2:14	5:11	society 3:1	unable 1:17	2024 4:21
need 5:14	problem 5:3	somebody 5:20	understand 2:14	5:20
news 4:16	proceedings 6:7,8,13	speakers 1:19 6:15	unidenti... 1:19	24 1:12
NGO 5:6	Professi... 6:5	spreading 4:13	utilizing 6:9	3
O	project 2:18	stakehol... 2:21	V	322CV01213 1:9
online 4:15	3:3 4:22	STAMOS 2:2	variety 4:17	
5:12	pull 2:17	4:2	vast 4:4	
open 6:9,15	Q	Stanford 2:3	verbatim 1:22	
operated 2:15,21,25	quality 1:16	3:4	verified 4:5	
opportunity 2:7	quickly 2:18	started 2:3	VS 1:7	
options 4:23	R	state 1:6	W	
outlets 4:17	R 1:8	2:24	we're 5:7,13	
P	radio 4:16	system 6:9	5:16	
pages 6:11	record 6:10	T	words 1:19	
particul... 2:23	recorded 1:16	take 5:13	work 2:4,6	
partisans 4:13	recording 1:21 6:16	5:17	2:13 3:8	
partners 2:22 5:18	Reddit 3:5	team 2:3	4:24,25	
partnership 1:11 2:3	Registered 6:5	technical 2:8	5:17	
4:22	report 4:8	thing 5:7	worked 2:22	
passed 5:11	reporter 6:1	things 2:19	3:1,3,4	
passing 5:15	6:4,4,5,9	4:10 5:10	write 5:17	
	reproduc... 6:12	tighter 5:11	X	
	research 5:15	5:11	Y	
		TikTok 3:5	YouTube 3:5	
		time 1:20		
		2:12		
		transcribed		



An official website of the United States government

Here's how you know

[REPORT](#) [SUBSCRIBE](#) [CONTACT](#) [SITE MAP](#)



CYBERSUMMIT 2021 SESSION DAY 4: RESPONDING TO MIS-, DIS-, AND MALINFORMATION

OCTOBER 27

Responding to Mis- and Disinformation

RENEE DIRESTA
Technical Research Manager
Stanford Internet Observatory



Cybersecurity Summit 2021: Responding to Mis, Dis, and Malinformation



Want more? Watch Day Four.

About the Speaker

Renée DiResta, Technical Research Manager, Stanford Internet Observatory

Renée DiResta is the Technical Research Manager at the Stanford Internet Observatory, a cross-disciplinary program of research, teaching and policy engagement for the study of abuse in current information technologies. Renée investigates the spread of narratives across social and media networks, with an interest in understanding how platform design intersects with user behaviors and crowd dynamics. Her work examines the ways in which distinct actor types leverage the information ecosystem to exert influence - from domestic activists promoting health misinformation and conspiracy theories, to well-resourced full-spectrum information operations executed by state-sponsored actors – and draws on those findings to consider policy, education, and design responses.

Renée has advised Congress, the State Department, and other academic, civic, and business organizations. At the behest of SSCI, she led outside teams investigating both the Russia-linked Internet Research Agency's multi-year effort to manipulate American society and elections, and the GRU influence campaign deployed alongside its hack-and-leak operations in the 2016 election. Renée is an Ideas contributor at Wired and The Atlantic, a 2020 Emerson Fellow, a 2019 Truman National Security Project fellow, a 2019 Mozilla Fellow in Media, Misinformation, and Trust, a 2017 Presidential Leadership Scholar, and a Council on Foreign Relations term member.

AUDIO TRANSCRIPTION

Page 1

1

2

3

4

5

AUDIO TRANSCRIPTION

6

IN RE: STATE OF MISSOURI, ET AL.

7

VS.

8

JOSEPH R. BIDEN, JR., ET AL.

9

CASE NO. 322CV01213

10 EVENT: CISA CYBER SECURITY SUMMIT 2021: RESPONDING TO

11

MIS, DIS, AND MALINFORMATION

12

OCTOBER 27, 2021

13

14

15

16 (Due to the quality of the recorded media, portions
17 were unable to be transcribed and include inaudible
18 portions. The transcript may also include

19 misinterpreted words and/or unidentified speakers.

20 The transcriber was not present at the time of the
21 recording; therefore, this transcript should not be
22 considered verbatim.)

23

24 TRANSCRIBED BY: MELISSA LANE

25

AUDIO TRANSCRIPTION

Page 2

1 (CLIP: 0:33-1:49.)

2 RENEÉ DIRESTA: So in August 2020, students
3 from the Stanford internet observatory were doing an
4 internship with CISA and they identified a massive gap
5 in the capability of federal, state, and local
6 governments to become aware of, to analyze, and to
7 rapidly respond to mis and disinformation, both
8 foreign and domestic, targeting the 2020 election.

9 Now that gap had several components. The
10 federal government wasn't prepared to identify and
11 analyze election miss and disinfo. There was no clear
12 federal lead to coordinate the work because the IC, of
13 course, is rightly limited to a foreign focus, and the
14 FBI also has very specific designations limitations.
15 CISA had created support but had no real capability.
16 There were unclear legal authorities, including very
17 real First Amendment questions. There was no
18 expertise resident within the federal government to
19 analyze public content across platforms to identify
20 transient risks. There was a lack of reporting
21 mechanisms for state and local partners to service
22 activity that they saw building in their communities
23 to help them understand it.

24 The federal government was building
25 relationships with tech platforms, but there's a

AUDIO TRANSCRIPTION

Page 3

1 healthy distrust both ways for good reason. So a
2 trusted nonpartisan partnership with expertise in the
3 way that misinformation moved on public platforms with
4 analysts capable of understanding public conversations
5 and a broader ability to explore publicly available
6 data was needed.

7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

AUDIO TRANSCRIPTION

Page 4

1 (CLIP: 5:38-5:47)

2 RENEE DIRESTA: And while, unfortunately,
3 the vast majority of -- vast majority of voting
4 related misinformation in the 2020 election was
5 domestic, our team evaluated foreign activity as well.

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

AUDIO TRANSCRIPTION

Page 5

1 (CLIP: 7:22-7:58)

2 RENEÉ DIRESTA: Following the success of
3 EIP and the certification of the 2020 election, SIO
4 ramped down its monitoring analysis capability because
5 we thought that we were done with that work. However,
6 almost immediately we recognized the need to ramp back
7 up. This time to support government health officials'
8 efforts to combat misinformation and targeting the
9 COVID-19 vaccines.

10 In February 2021, we formally established
11 the virality project drawing on the same partners from
12 EIP and adding a few more, and much like EIP, it
13 focused on realtime observation analysis and
14 understanding of cross platform vaccine-related
15 misinformation.

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

AUDIO TRANSCRIPTION

Page 6

1 (CLIP: 9:58-10:44)

2 RENEÉ DIRESTA: We are never going to live
3 in a world free of mis and disinformation. Such a
4 world has never existed, and the government is not
5 going to snap its fingers and regulate the problem
6 away, because misinformation is ultimately speech.
7 And so while there are plenty of conversations now
8 happening about regulation, most have not yet arrived
9 at a solution space that protects civil liberties
10 while reducing harms. And yet, that said, the current
11 situation is also untenable. So we need something
12 in the short term to help create situational awareness
13 for those equipped to counter speak, equipped to
14 correct false and misleading claims, to help insure
15 that the public has access to reliable, accurately,
16 contextualized information and not only from official
17 government sources, and we believe that the power of
18 partnerships hold the key.

19 (Audio ended.)

20

21

22

23

24

25

AUDIO TRANSCRIPTION

Page 7

1 CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER

2

3 I, Melissa J. Lane, Certified Court
4 Reporter of Missouri, Certified Shorthand Reporter of
5 Illinois and Registered Professional Reporter, do
6 hereby certify that I was asked to prepare a
7 transcript of proceedings had in the above-mentioned
8 case, which proceedings were held with no court
9 reporter present utilizing an open microphone system
10 of preserving the record.

11 I further certify that the foregoing pages
12 constitute a true and accurate reproduction of the
13 proceedings as transcribed by me to the best of my
14 ability and may include inaudible sections or
15 misidentified speakers of said open microphone
16 recording.

17 *Melissa J. Lane*



18

19 Melissa J. Lane, CCR, CSR, RPR

20

21

22

23

24

25

AUDIO TRANSCRIPTION

A	CCR 7:19 CERTIFICATE 7:1 certific... 5:3 Certified 7:3,4 certify 7:6 7:11 CISA 1:10 2:4,15 civil 6:9 claims 6:14 clear 2:11 CLIP 2:1 4:1 5:1 6:1 combat 5:8 communities 2:22 components 2:9 considered 1:22 constitute 7:12 content 2:19 contextu... 6:16 conversa... 3:4 6:7 coordinate 2:12 correct 6:14 counter 6:13 course 2:13 court 7:3,8 COVID-19 5:9 create 6:12 created 2:15 cross 5:14 CSR 7:19 current 6:10 CYBER 1:10	4:2 5:2 6:2 DIS 1:11 disinfo 2:11 disinfor... 2:7 6:3 distrust 3:1 doing 2:3 domestic 2:8 4:5 drawing 5:11 Due 1:16	7:11 foreign 2:8 2:13 4:5 formally 5:10 free 6:3 further 7:11	2:4
ability 3:5 7:14 above-me... 7:7 access 6:15 accurate 7:12 accurately 6:15 activity 2:22 4:5 adding 5:12 AL 1:6,8 Amendment 2:17 analysis 5:4 5:13 analysts 3:4 analyze 2:6 2:11,19 and/or 1:19 arrived 6:8 asked 7:6 Audio 1:5 6:19 August 2:2 authorities 2:16 available 3:5 aware 2:6 awareness 6:12	E efforts 5:8 EIP 5:3,12 5:12 election 2:8 2:11 4:4 5:3 ended 6:19 equipped 6:13,13 established 5:10 ET 1:6,8 evaluated 4:5 EVENT 1:10 existed 6:4 expertise 2:18 3:2 explore 3:5	G gap 2:4,9 going 6:2,5 good 3:1 government 2:10,18,24 5:7 6:4,17 governments 2:6	J J 7:3,19 JOSEPH 1:8 JR 1:8	
B back 5:6 believe 6:17 best 7:13 BIDEN 1:8 broader 3:5 building 2:22,24	F false 6:14 FBI 2:14 February 5:10 federal 2:5 2:10,12,18 2:24 fingers 6:5 First 2:17 focus 2:13 focused 5:13 Following 5:2 foregoing	H happening 6:8 harms 6:10 health 5:7 healthy 3:1 held 7:8 help 2:23 6:12,14 hold 6:18	K key 6:18	
C capability 2:5,15 5:4 capable 3:4 case 1:9 7:8	D data 3:6 designat... 2:14 DIRESTA 2:2	I IC 2:12 identified 2:4 identify 2:10,19 Illinois 7:5 immediately 5:6 inaudible 1:17 7:14 include 1:17 1:18 7:14 including 2:16 information 6:16 insure 6:14 internet 2:3 internship	L lack 2:20 Lane 1:24 7:3,19 lead 2:12 legal 2:16 liberties 6:9 limitations 2:14 limited 2:13 live 6:2 local 2:5,21	
			M majority 4:3 4:3 MALINFOR... 1:11 massive 2:4 mechanisms 2:21 media 1:16 Melissa 1:24 7:3,19 microphone 7:9,15 mis 1:11 2:7 6:3 misident... 7:15 misinfor... 3:3 4:4 5:8,15 6:6 misinter... 1:19 misleading 6:14 Missouri 1:6	

AUDIO TRANSCRIPTION

7:4 monitoring 5:4 moved 3:3	problem 6:5 proceedings 7:7, 8, 13 Professi... 7:5 project 5:11 protects 6:9 public 2:19 3:3, 4 6:15 publicly 3:5	reporting 2:20 reproduc... 7:12 resident 2:18 respond 2:7 RESPONDING 1:10 rightly 2:13 risks 2:20 RPR 7:19	targeting 2:8 5:8 team 4:5 tech 2:25 term 6:12 thought 5:5 time 1:20 5:7 transcribed 1:17, 24 7:13 transcriber 1:20 transcript 1:18, 21 7:7 TRANSCRI... 1:5 transient 2:20 true 7:12 trusted 3:2	5:11 voting 4:3 VS 1:7
N	Q	S	U	W
need 5:6 6:11 needed 3:6 never 6:2, 4 nonpartisan 3:2	quality 1:16 questions 2:17	saw 2:22 sections 7:14 SECURITY 1:10 service 2:21 short 6:12 Shorthand 7:4 SIO 5:3 situation 6:11 situational 6:12 snap 6:5 solution 6:9 sources 6:17 space 6:9 speak 6:13 speakers 1:19 7:15 specific 2:14 speech 6:6 Stanford 2:3 state 1:6 2:5, 21 students 2:2 success 5:2 SUMMIT 1:10 support 2:15 5:7 system 7:9	ultimately 6:6 unable 1:17 unclear 2:16 understand 2:23 understa... 3:4 5:14 unfortun... 4:2 unidenti... 1:19 untenable 6:11 utilizing 7:9	wasn't 2:10 way 3:3 ways 3:1 words 1:19 work 2:12 5:5 world 6:3, 4
O	R	T	V	X
observation 5:13 observatory 2:3 OCTOBER 1:12 official 6:16 officials' 5:7 open 7:9, 15	R 1:8 ramp 5:6 ramped 5:4 rapidly 2:7 real 2:15, 17 realtime 5:13 reason 3:1 recognized 5:6 record 7:10 recorded 1:16 recording 1:21 7:16 reducing 6:10 Registered 7:5 regulate 6:5 regulation 6:8 related 4:4 relation... 2:25 reliable 6:15 RENEE 2:2 4:2 5:2 6:2 reporter 7:1 7:4, 4, 5, 9			Y
P				Z
pages 7:11 partners 2:21 5:11 partnership 3:2 partners... 6:18 platform 5:14 platforms 2:19, 25 3:3 plenty 6:7 portions 1:16, 18 power 6:17 prepare 7:6 prepared 2:10 present 1:20 7:9 preserving 7:10				0
				0:33-1:49 2:1
				1
				2
				2020 2:2, 8 4:4 5:3 2021 1:10, 12 5:10 27 1:12
				3
				322CV01213 1:9
				4
				5
				5:38-5:47 4:1
				6
				7
				7:22-7:58 5:1
				8

AUDIO TRANSCRIPTION

<div>9</div> <div>9:58-10:44</div> <div>6:1</div>				
---	--	--	--	--



3RD ANNUAL NATIONAL CYBERSECURITY SUMMIT FOR 2020



The 3rd Annual National Cybersecurity Summit took place September 16 - October 7, 2020, a series of seminars conducted virtually each Wednesday for four weeks due to the COVID-19 pandemic. There were more than 15,000 virtual attendees.

The 2020 Cybersecurity Summit brought together infrastructure stakeholders from around the world and provided a forum for meaningful conversations and collaboration on cybersecurity.

Each series had a different theme that focused on CISA's mission, with presentations from targeted leaders across government, academia, and industry.

The themes were:

- Sept 16: Key Cyber Insights
- Sept 23: Leading the Digital Transformation
- Sept 30: Diversity in Cybersecurity
- Oct 7: Defending our Democracy

Frequently Asked Questions (FAQ)

AUDIO TRANSCRIPTION 1/10/2023

Page 1

1

2

3

AUDIO TRANSCRIPTION

4

IN RE: STATE OF MISSOURI, ET AL.

5

VS.

6

JOSEPH R. BIDEN, JR., ET AL.

7

CASE NO. 322CV01213

8

EVENT: CISA CYBER SECURITY SUMMIT 2020: COMBATTING

9

DISINFORMATION

10

OCTOBER 7, 2020

11

12

13

14 (Due to the quality of the recorded media, portions
15 were unable to be transcribed and include inaudible
16 portions. The transcript may also include
17 misinterpreted words and/or unidentified speakers.
18 The transcriber was not present at the time of the
19 recording; therefore, this transcript should not be
20 considered verbatim.)

21

22 TRANSCRIBED BY: MELISSA LANE

23

24

25

AUDIO TRANSCRIPTION 1/10/2023

Page 2

1 (CLIP: 6:17-6:50)

2 CLINT WATTS: And I think what's

3 interesting in this time around is how we -- we kind

4 of talked about Russia having the playbook in 2016,

5 and sort of leading the way in terms of how to do

6 disinformation and -- and election manipulation. Now

7 everyone has adopted that approach, and in the

8 domestic scene, it -- it is overwhelmingly more domestic

9 than foreign this time around in 2020, and I think

10 from the Russian, Chinese or Iranian perspective, they

11 must wonder what they can possibly say that would

12 change anyone's mind that's not already being said in

13 the American landscape.

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

AUDIO TRANSCRIPTION 1/10/2023

Page 3

1 (CLIP: 12:43-13:18)

2 ALEX STAMOS: The bigger issue in 2020, is
3 going to be domestic, and to be honest, like, it's --
4 it is domestic and the -- the things that we're
5 seeing, so we have set up this thing called the
6 election integrity partnership, so we went and hired a
7 bunch of students. We're working with the University
8 of Washington, Graphika, and DFRLab, and the vast, vast
9 majority of the contact we see we believe is domestic.
10 You know, some of it you can't tell, but a lot of it
11 is coming from domestic blue checkmark verified
12 elites; right? And so I think a much bigger issue for
13 the platforms is elite disinformation. The stuff that
14 is being driven by people who are verified that are
15 Americans who are using their real identities.

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

AUDIO TRANSCRIPTION 1/10/2023

Page 4

1 (CLIP: 29:24-30:00)

2 ALEX STAMOS: I think we talk way too much
3 about foreign influence, and let's be honest. I think
4 we talk too much about it. It's sexy, and it's fun.
5 And it's a little bit cold wary, but the truth is,
6 that the vast majority of these problems or the kind
7 of problems in the information environment are domestic
8 problems. They're problems in how we interact with each
9 other of the norms that have been created by online
10 political speech, about amplification issues, about how now
11 politicians are utilizing platforms, and so I think
12 we -- we have like an 80/20 breakdown of 80 percent we
13 talk about foreign and 20 domestic. I think that
14 needs to be flipped. And I think the quantitative
15 social science research supports that idea.

16 (Audio ended.)

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

AUDIO TRANSCRIPTION 1/10/2023

Page 5

1 CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER

2

3 I, Melissa J. Lane, Certified Court
4 Reporter of Missouri, Certified Shorthand Reporter of
5 Illinois and Registered Professional Reporter, do
6 hereby certify that I was asked to prepare a
7 transcript of proceedings had in the above-mentioned
8 case, which proceedings were held with no court
9 reporter present utilizing an open microphone system
10 of preserving the record.

11 I further certify that the foregoing pages
12 constitute a true and accurate reproduction of the
13 proceedings as transcribed by me to the best of my
14 ability and may include inaudible sections or
15 misidentified speakers of said open microphone
16 recording.

17 *Melissa J. Lane*



18

19 Melissa J. Lane, CCR, CSR, RPR

20

21

22

23

24

25

AUDIO TRANSCRIPTION 1/10/2023

A	CISA 1:8 CLINT 2:2 CLIP 2:1 3:1 4:1 cold 4:5 COMBATTING 1:8 coming 3:11 considered 1:20 constitute 5:12 contact 3:9 court 5:3,8 created 4:9 CSR 5:19 CYBER 1:8	G	lot 3:10	2:11 prepare 5:6 present 1:18 5:9 preserving 5:10 problems 4:6 4:7,8,8 proceedings 5:7,8,13 Professi... 5:5
ability 5:14 above-me... 5:7 accurate 5:12 adopted 2:7 AL 1:4,6 ALEX 3:2 4:2 American 2:13 Americans 3:15 amplific... 4:10 and/or 1:17 anyone's 2:12 approach 2:7 asked 5:6 Audio 1:3 4:16	D DFRLab 3:8 disinfor... 1:9 2:6 3:13 domestic 2:8 2:8 3:3,4 3:9,11 4:7 4:13 driven 3:14 Due 1:14	H held 5:8 hired 3:6 honest 3:3 4:3	M majority 3:9 4:6 manipula... 2:6 media 1:14 Melissa 1:22 5:3,19 microphone 5:9,15 mind 2:12 misident... 5:15 misinter... 1:17 Missouri 1:4 5:4	Q quality 1:14 quantita... 4:14
B believe 3:9 best 5:13 BIDEN 1:6 bigger 3:2 3:12 bit 4:5 blue 3:11 breakdown 4:12 bunch 3:7	E election 2:6 3:6 elite 3:13 elites 3:12 ended 4:16 environment 4:7 ET 1:4,6 EVENT 1:8	I idea 4:15 identities 3:15 Illinois 5:5 inaudible 1:15 5:14 include 1:15 1:16 5:14 influence 4:3 information 4:7 integrity 3:6 interact 4:8 interesting 2:3 Iranian 2:10 issue 3:2,12 issues 4:10	N needs 4:14 norms 4:9	R R 1:6 real 3:15 record 5:10 recorded 1:14 recording 1:19 5:16 Registered 5:5 reporter 5:1 5:4,4,5,9 reproduc... 5:12 research 4:15 right 3:12 RPR 5:19 Russia 2:4 Russian 2:10
C called 3:5 case 1:7 5:8 CCR 5:19 CERTIFICATE 5:1 Certified 5:3,4 certify 5:6 5:11 change 2:12 checkmark 3:11 Chinese 2:10	F flipped 4:14 foregoing 5:11 foreign 2:9 4:3,13 fun 4:4 further 5:11	J J 5:3,19 JOSEPH 1:6 JR 1:6	O OCTOBER 1:10 online 4:9 open 5:9,15 overwhel... 2:8	S scene 2:8 science 4:15 sections 5:14 SECURITY 1:8 see 3:9 seeing 3:5 set 3:5 sexy 4:4
		K kind 2:3 4:6 know 3:10	P pages 5:11 partnership 3:6 people 3:14 percent 4:12 perspective 2:10 platforms 3:13 4:11 playbook 2:4 political 4:10 politicians 4:11 portions 1:14,16 possibly	
		L landscape 2:13 Lane 1:22 5:3,19 leading 2:5 let's 4:3 little 4:5		

AUDIO TRANSCRIPTION 1/10/2023

Shorthand 5:4	utilizing 4:11 5:9	5		
social 4:15		6		
sort 2:5	V	6:17-6:50		
speakers 1:17 5:15	vast 3:8, 8 4:6	2:1		
speech 4:10	verbatim 1:20	7		
STAMOS 3:2 4:2	verified 3:11, 14	7 1:10		
STATE 1:4	VS 1:5	8		
students 3:7		80 4:12		
stuff 3:13	W	80/20 4:12		
SUMMIT 1:8	wary 4:5			
supports 4:15	Washington 3:8			
system 5:9	WATTS 2:2			
T	way 2:5 4:2			
talk 4:2, 4 4:13	we're 3:4, 7			
talked 2:4	went 3:6			
tell 3:10	wonder 2:11			
terms 2:5	words 1:17			
thing 3:5	working 3:7			
things 3:4	X			
think 2:2, 9 3:12 4:2, 3 4:11, 13, 14	Y			
time 1:18 2:3, 9	Z			
transcribed 1:15, 22 5:13	0			
transcriber 1:18	1			
transcript 1:16, 19 5:7	12:43-13:18 3:1			
TRANSCRI... 1:3	2			
true 5:12	20 4:13			
truth 4:5	2016 2:4			
U	2020 1:8, 10 2:9 3:2			
unable 1:15	29:24-30:00 4:1			
unidenti... 1:17	3			
University 3:7	322CV01213 1:7			
	4			

Initial Reactions: Disinformation in the 2020 Elections

TUE, NOVEMBER 10, 2020 • 11:00 AM ET

Leaders of the Election Integrity Partnership discuss the mis- and disinformation they saw on election day and how the partnership is fighting against election-related disinformation.

As the global community continues to grapple with the coronavirus (COVID-19), the Atlantic Council is open for business. Our business, meetings, and events, however, are occurring virtually. For more information, please [read an update](#) from our President and CEO.

What happened: Disinformation in 2020 elections





America's role in the world

Join the Atlantic Council for conversations on the most critical issues at the intersection of domestic and international affairs that will influence this year's US elections.

[**LEARN MORE**](#)

Disinformation was a central vulnerability throughout 2020 U.S. elections.

A coalition of premier research institutions came together in the Election Integrity Partnership to detect and mitigate disinformation focused on the election, in particular attempts to delegitimize the voting process and election results.

The Partnership conducted monitoring, including 24/7 during the week of the election, of the information space. It also worked to directly support information exchange between state and local election officials, federal government agencies, social media platforms, civil society organizations, and media. Working together, the Partnership was committed to nonpartisan analysis and building resilience against disinformation — and other online harms — in the 2020 US presidential election.

Please join us on Tuesday, November 10 at 11 AM EST for a critical discussion with representatives from each of the organizations included in the Election Integrity Partnership: the Atlantic Council's Digital Forensic Research Lab, the Stanford Internet Observatory, Graphika, and the University of Washington's Center for an Informed Public.

While the Partnership will be publishing a comprehensive report of analysis and findings encapsulating the entirety of our effort in the coming months, this discussion is meant to provide insight into initial, expert reactions and lessons learned on disinformation's role in American democracy and what to expect going forward...just as soon as the experts have benefited from a full night of sleep.

The **Election Integrity Partnership** is a coalition of premier research teams focused on supporting real-time monitoring and information exchange between the research community, election officials, government agencies, civil society organizations, and social media platforms. The Partnership, made up of the Atlantic Council's **Digital Forensic Research**

Lab (DFRLab), the Stanford Internet Observatory, Graphika, and the University of Washington Center for an Informed Public, was created to detect and mitigate the impact of election and voting related misinformation.



Speakers

Graham Brookie

Director and Managing Editor

Digital Forensic Research Lab, Atlantic Council

Emerson Brooking

Resident Fellow

Digital Forensic Research Lab, Atlantic Council

Camille François

Chief Innovation Officer

Graphika

Alex Stamos

Director

Stanford Internet Observatory

Kate Starbird

Associate Professor

Human Centered Design & Engineering

The Election Integrity Partnership coalition

Stanford | Internet Observatory
Cyber Policy Center

Graphika



W CENTER FOR AN INFORMED PUBLIC
UNIVERSITY of WASHINGTON

AUDIO TRANSCRIPTION

Page 1

1

2

3

4

AUDIO TRANSCRIPTION

5

IN RE: STATE OF MISSOURI, ET AL.

6

VS.

7

JOSEPH R. BIDEN, JR., ET AL.

8

CASE NO. 322CV01213

9

EVENT: ATLANTIC COUNCIL: INITIAL REACTIONS:

10

DISINFORMATION IN THE 2020 ELECTIONS

11

NOVEMBER 10, 2020

12

13

14

15 (Due to the quality of the recorded media, portions
16 were unable to be transcribed and include inaudible
17 portions. The transcript may also include
18 misinterpreted words and/or unidentified speakers.
19 The transcriber was not present at the time of the
20 recording; therefore, this transcript should not be
21 considered verbatim.)

22

23 TRANSCRIBED BY: MELISSA LANE

24

25

AUDIO TRANSCRIPTION

Page 2

1 (CLIP: 22:34-23:25)

2 ALEX STAMOS: You know, an interesting
3 thing is almost all of this is domestic: right? So
4 you know, to pre-empt the question that we've got
5 every single day since election day, there has been
6 some foreign action. There's obviously a lot of overt
7 foreign activity, you know, overt, meaning the, you
8 know, declared media outlets and Twitter accounts and
9 the like of foreign governments. There has been a
10 little bit of covert. We're going to talk about it
11 but nothing that's very interesting. It is all
12 domestic, and the second point on the domestic, a huge
13 part of the problem is well-known influencers, and I
14 think that was a theme that we saw during the entire
15 week is that you have a -- a relatively small number
16 of people with very large followings who have the
17 ability to go and find a narrative somewhere, pick it
18 out of obscurity and harden -- you know, some kind of
19 a little idea, one tweet, one photo, one video and
20 then to harden it into these narratives.

21

22

23

24

25

AUDIO TRANSCRIPTION

Page 3

1 (CLIP: 49:34-49:50)

2 ALEX STAMOS: So, you know, on effectively
3 pushing the platforms to do stuff. So, yes, there's a
4 basic problem that they will always be more
5 responsive in the places that are both economically
6 highly important and that have huge potential
7 regulatory impact, most notably right now that would
8 be the United States and Europe.

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

AUDIO TRANSCRIPTION

Page 4

1 (CLIP: 50:06-50:56)

2 ALEX STAMOS: My suggestion, if people want
3 to get the platforms to do stuff is, first, you've got
4 to push for written policies that are specific and
5 that give you predictability; right? And so this is
6 something we started in the summer, in August, is as
7 Kate talked about Carly Miller led a team from all
8 four institutions to look at the detailed policies
9 of the big platforms and to measure them against
10 situations that we expected to happen.

11 Now we're not going to take credit for all
12 of the changes they made, but there -- we had to
13 update this thing, like, eight or nine times; right?
14 And so like putting these people in a grid to say,
15 you're not handling this, you're not handling this,
16 you're not handling this, creates a lot of pressure
17 inside of the companies and forces them to kind of
18 grapple with these issues, because you want specific
19 policies that you can hold them accountable for. The
20 second is, when you report stuff to them, report how
21 it's violating those written policies; right? So
22 there's two steps here. Get good policies, and then
23 say, this is how it's violated it.

24 (Audio ended.)

25

AUDIO TRANSCRIPTION

Page 5

1 CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER

2

3 I, Melissa J. Lane, Certified Court
4 Reporter of Missouri, Certified Shorthand Reporter of
5 Illinois and Registered Professional Reporter, do
6 hereby certify that I was asked to prepare a
7 transcript of proceedings had in the above-mentioned
8 case, which proceedings were held with no court
9 reporter present utilizing an open microphone system
10 of preserving the record.

11 I further certify that the foregoing pages
12 constitute a true and accurate reproduction of the
13 proceedings as transcribed by me to the best of my
14 ability and may include inaudible sections or
15 misidentified speakers of said open microphone
16 recording.

17 *Melissa J. Lane*



18

19 Melissa J. Lane, CCR, CSR, RPR

20

21

22

23

24

25

AUDIO TRANSCRIPTION

A	court 5:3, 8 covert 2:10 creates 4:16 credit 4:11 CSR 5:19	going 2:10 4:11 good 4:22 governments 2:9 grapple 4:18 grid 4:14	know 2:2, 4, 7 2:8, 18 3:2	P
ability 2:17 5:14 above-me... 5:7 accountable 4:19 accounts 2:8 accurate 5:12 action 2:6 activity 2:7 AL 1:5, 7 ALEX 2:2 3:2 4:2 and/or 1:18 asked 5:6 ATLANTIC 1:9 Audio 1:4 4:24 August 4:6	D day 2:5, 5 declared 2:8 detailed 4:8 DISINFOR... 1:10 domestic 2:3 2:12, 12 Due 1:15	H handling 4:15, 15, 16 happen 4:10 harden 2:18 2:20 held 5:8 highly 3:6 hold 4:19 huge 2:12 3:6	L Lane 1:23 5:3, 19 large 2:16 led 4:7 little 2:10 2:19 look 4:8 lot 2:6 4:16	pages 5:11 part 2:13 people 2:16 4:2, 14 photo 2:19 pick 2:17 places 3:5 platforms 3:3 4:3, 9 point 2:12 policies 4:4 4:8, 19, 21 4:22 portions 1:15, 17 potential 3:6 pre-empt 2:4 predicta... 4:5 prepare 5:6 present 1:19 5:9 preserving 5:10 pressure 4:16 problem 2:13 3:4 proceedings 5:7, 8, 13 Professi... 5:5 push 4:4 pushing 3:3 putting 4:14
B	E economic... 3:5 effectively 3:2 eight 4:13 election 2:5 ELECTIONS 1:10 ended 4:24 entire 2:14 ET 1:5, 7 Europe 3:8 EVENT 1:9 expected 4:10	I idea 2:19 Illinois 5:5 impact 3:7 important 3:6 inaudible 1:16 5:14 include 1:16 1:17 5:14 influencers 2:13 INITIAL 1:9 inside 4:17 institut... 4:8 interesting 2:2, 11 issues 4:18	M meaning 2:7 measure 4:9 media 1:15 2:8 Melissa 1:23 5:3, 19 microphone 5:9, 15 Miller 4:7 misident... 5:15 misinter... 1:18 Missouri 1:5 5:4	N narrative 2:17 narratives 2:20 nine 4:13 notably 3:7 NOVEMBER 1:11 number 2:15
C	F find 2:17 first 4:3 followings 2:16 forces 4:17 foregoing 5:11 foreign 2:6 2:7, 9 four 4:8 further 5:11	J J 5:3, 19 JOSEPH 1:7 JR 1:7	O obscurity 2:18 obviously 2:6 open 5:9, 15 outlets 2:8 overt 2:6, 7	Q quality 1:15 question 2:4
Carly 4:7 case 1:8 5:8 CCR 5:19 CERTIFICATE 5:1 Certified 5:3, 4 certify 5:6 5:11 changes 4:12 CLIP 2:1 3:1 4:1 companies 4:17 considered 1:21 constitute 5:12 COUNCIL 1:9	G give 4:5 go 2:17	K Kate 4:7 kind 2:18 4:17	R R 1:7 REACTIONS 1:9 record 5:10 recorded 1:15	

AUDIO TRANSCRIPTION

recording 1:20 5:16	T	we've 2:4		
Registered 5:5	take 4:11	week 2:15		
regulatory 3:7	talk 2:10	well-known 2:13		
relatively 2:15	talked 4:7	words 1:18		
report 4:20	team 4:7	written 4:4		
reporter 5:1	theme 2:14	4:21		
5:4, 4, 5, 9	thing 2:3			
reproduc... 5:12	4:13	X		
responsive 3:5	think 2:14			
right 2:3	time 1:19	Y		
3:7 4:5, 13	times 4:13			
4:21	transcribed 1:16, 23	Z		
RPR 5:19	5:13	0		
	transcriber 1:19	1		
S	transcript 1:17, 20	10 1:11		
saw 2:14	5:7	2		
second 2:12	TRANSCRI... 1:4	2020 1:10, 11		
4:20	true 5:12	22:34-23:25 2:1		
sections 5:14	tweet 2:19	3		
Shorthand 5:4	Twitter 2:8	322CV01213 1:8		
single 2:5	two 4:22	4		
situations 4:10	U	49:34-49:50 3:1		
small 2:15	unable 1:16	5		
speakers 1:18 5:15	unidenti... 1:18	50:06-50:56 4:1		
specific 4:4	United 3:8			
4:18	update 4:13			
STAMOS 2:2	utilizing 5:9			
3:2 4:2	V			
started 4:6	verbatim 1:21			
STATE 1:5	video 2:19			
States 3:8	violated 4:23			
steps 4:22	violating 4:21			
stuff 3:3	VS 1:6			
4:3, 20	W			
suggestion 4:2	want 4:2, 18			
summer 4:6	we're 2:10			
system 5:9	4:11			

The long fuse: Misinformation and the 2020 election

WED, MARCH 3, 2021 • 3:00 PM ET

A conversation on the release of the Election Integrity Partnership's report, "The Long Fuse: Misinformation and the 2020 Election"

As the global community continues to grapple with the coronavirus (COVID-19), the Atlantic Council is open for business. Our business, meetings, and events, however, are occurring virtually. For more information, please [read an update](#) from our President and CEO.



Please join us on **Wednesday March 3, 2021** from **3:00-4:30 pm EST (12:00-1:30 PST)** for **The Long Fuse: Misinformation in the 2020 Election**, the public launch of a comprehensive report tracking mis- and disinformation in the 2020 election cycle. The report was produced by the **Election Integrity Partnership (EIP)**, a coalition of research institutions that worked together to detect and mitigate viral misinformation and to support the real-time information exchange between the research community, election officials, government agencies, civil society organizations, and social media platforms.

The Partnership was set up to monitor false information about the process and results of the 2020 election, in line with the Department of Homeland Security's designation of elections as critical infrastructure in democracies. In particular, the EIP identified and traced the false "stolen election" narrative which would culminate in the 1/6 attack on the US Capitol.

The event will begin with a fireside chat with **Chris Krebs**, the former director of the Department of Homeland Security's Cybersecurity and Infrastructure Security Agency (CISA), who led the effort to secure electoral infrastructure and the response to mis- and disinformation during the election period. The conversation will contextualize the role and findings of EIP within the government's efforts to prevent the spread of malign information. Mr. Krebs will share his lessons learned securing this election and will discuss how to build resilient electoral systems going forward.

Next, this event will feature a discussion with representatives from each of the EIP Partners: the **Stanford Internet Observatory**, **Graphika**, the **University of Washington's Center for an Informed Public**, and the Atlantic Council's **Digital**

Forensic Research Lab. Panelists will discuss the origins and amplification of voting-related misinformation, charting how these narratives evolved over time. The panel will also discuss the evolution of social media platforms' civic integrity policies and the effectiveness of their implementation. Finally, the panel will consider how the EIP can serve as a model for future mis- and disinformation monitoring efforts.

READ THE REPORT

Introductory remarks

Graham Brookie

Director

DFRLab

Alex Stamos

Director

Stanford Internet Observatory

Fireside Chat

Chris Krebs

Founding Partner

Krebs Stamos Group LLC

Moderated by

Isabella Garcia-Camargo

Project Manager

Election Integrity Partnership

Presentation featuring

Emerson Brooking

Resident Senior Fellow

DFRLab

Camille Francois

Chief Innovation Officer

Graphika

Renee DiResta

Research Manager

Stanford Internet Observatory

Kate Starbird

Associate Professor

Department of Human Centered Design and Engineering

University of Washington

Joe Bak-Coleman

Postdoctoral Scholar

Information School

University of Washington

Andrew Beers

Graduate Student

Human Centered Design & Engineering (HCDE)

University of Washington

Nicole Buckley

Research Analyst

School of Law

University of Washington

Alyssa Kann

Research Assistant

DFRLab

Ian Kennedy

Graduate Student

Sociology

University of Washington

Carly Miller

Research Analyst

Stanford Internet Observatory

Kyle Weiss

Research Analyst

Graphika

Moderated by

Alex Stamos

Director

Stanford Internet Observatory



The **Election Integrity Partnership** is a coalition of premier research teams focused on supporting real-time monitoring and information exchange between the research community, election officials, government agencies, civil society organizations, and social media platforms. The Partnership, made up of the Atlantic Council's **Digital Forensic Research Lab (DFRLab)**, the **Stanford Internet Observatory**, **Graphika**, and the **University of Washington Center for an Informed Public**, was created to detect and mitigate the impact of election and voting related misinformation.

The Election Integrity Partnership coalition

Stanford | Internet Observatory
Cyber Policy Center

Graphika



W CENTER FOR AN INFORMED PUBLIC
UNIVERSITY of WASHINGTON

On Twitter? Follow @DFRLab and @AtlanticCouncil to join the conversation



AUDIO TRANSCRIPTION

Page 1

1

2

3

4

5

6

AUDIO TRANSCRIPTION

7

IN RE: STATE OF MISSOURI, ET AL.

8

VS.

9

JOSEPH R. BIDEN, JR., ET AL.

10

CASE NO. 322CV01213

11

EVENT: ATLANTIC COUNCIL: THE LONG FUSE: MISINFORMATION

12

AND THE 2020 ELECTION

13

MARCH 3, 2021

14

15

16

(Due to the quality of the recorded media, portions

17

were unable to be transcribed and include inaudible

18

portions. The transcript may also include

19

misinterpreted words and/or unidentified speakers.

20

The transcriber was not present at the time of the

21

recording; therefore, this transcript should not be

22

considered verbatim.)

23

24

TRANSCRIBED BY: MELISSA LANE

25

AUDIO TRANSCRIPTION

Page 2

1 (CLIP: 1:21:42-1:22:30)

2 EMERSON BROOKING: I think the EIP really
3 helped push the envelope with things like just the
4 notion that this pre -- this delegitimization of
5 electoral processes that we were seeing in the summer
6 and early fall that this should be against content
7 moderation policies on these platforms, and
8 begin to take proactive steps there, but I -- it was
9 to me a bit disappointing that there was tons of this
10 misinformation that would continue to circulate,
11 because bottom line, these platforms are companies,
12 and they had to hedge their bets a little bit. They
13 couldn't outlaw the speech of one competitor in a
14 political process, but after November 3rd, we saw that
15 market shift where content moderation actions that
16 were -- we could hardly contemplate a few weeks before
17 began to be taken. There was a much stronger emphasis
18 on cracking down on the sort of content we've been
19 tracking from the beginning.

20 (Audio ended.)

21

22

23

24

25

AUDIO TRANSCRIPTION

Page 3

1 CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER

2

3 I, Melissa J. Lane, Certified Court
4 Reporter of Missouri, Certified Shorthand Reporter of
5 Illinois and Registered Professional Reporter, do
6 hereby certify that I was asked to prepare a
7 transcript of proceedings had in the above-mentioned
8 case, which proceedings were held with no court
9 reporter present utilizing an open microphone system
10 of preserving the record.

11 I further certify that the foregoing pages
12 constitute a true and accurate reproduction of the
13 proceedings as transcribed by me to the best of my
14 ability and may include inaudible sections or
15 misidentified speakers of said open microphone
16 recording.

17 *Melissa J. Lane*



18

19 Melissa J. Lane, CCR, CSR, RPR

20

21

22

23

24

25

AUDIO TRANSCRIPTION

A	content 2:6 2:15,18 continue 2:10 COUNCIL 1:11 court 3:3,8 cracking 2:18 CSR 3:19	1:17 3:14 include 1:17 1:18 3:14	policies 2:7 political 2:14 portions 1:16,18 pre 2:4 prepare 3:6 present 1:20 3:9 preserving 3:10 proactive 2:8 proceedings 3:7,8,13 process 2:14 processes 2:5 Professi... 3:5 push 2:3	sort 2:18 speakers 1:19 3:15 speech 2:13 STATE 1:7 steps 2:8 stronger 2:17 summer 2:5 system 3:9
ability 3:14 above-me... 3:7 accurate 3:12 actions 2:15 AL 1:7,9 and/or 1:19 asked 3:6 ATLANTIC 1:11 Audio 1:6 2:20	D delegiti... 2:4 disappoi... 2:9 Due 1:16	J J 3:3,19 JOSEPH 1:9 JR 1:9	K	T
B	E early 2:6 EIP 2:2 ELECTION 1:12 electoral 2:5 EMERSON 2:2 emphasis 2:17 ended 2:20 envelope 2:3 ET 1:7,9 EVENT 1:11	L Lane 1:24 3:3,19 line 2:11 little 2:12 LONG 1:11	M MARCH 1:13 market 2:15 media 1:16 Melissa 1:24 3:3,19 microphone 3:9,15 misident... 3:15 misinfor... 1:11 2:10 misinter... 1:19 Missouri 1:7 3:4 moderation 2:7,15	take 2:8 taken 2:17 things 2:3 think 2:2 time 1:20 tons 2:9 tracking 2:19 transcribed 1:17,24 3:13 transcriber 1:20 transcript 1:18,21 3:7 TRANSCRI... 1:6 true 3:12
C	F fall 2:6 foregoing 3:11 further 3:11 FUSE 1:11	N notion 2:4 November 2:14	Q quality 1:16	U unable 1:17 unidenti... 1:19 utilizing 3:9
case 1:10 3:8 CCR 3:19 CERTIFICATE 3:1 Certified 3:3,4 certify 3:6 3:11 circulate 2:10 CLIP 2:1 companies 2:11 competitor 2:13 considered 1:22 constitute 3:12 contemplate 2:16	G	O open 3:9,15 outlaw 2:13	R R 1:9 really 2:2 record 3:10 recorded 1:16 recording 1:21 3:16 Registered 3:5 reporter 3:1 3:4,4,5,9 reproduc... 3:12 RPR 3:19	V verbatim 1:22 VS 1:8
	H hedge 2:12 held 3:8 helped 2:3	P pages 3:11 platforms 2:7,11	S saw 2:14 sections 3:14 seeing 2:5 shift 2:15 Shorthand 3:4	W we've 2:18 weeks 2:16 words 1:19
	I Illinois 3:5 inaudible			

AUDIO TRANSCRIPTION

X				
Y				
Z				
0				
1				
1:21:42-... 2:1				
2				
2020 1:12 2021 1:13				
3				
3 1:13 322CV01213 1:10 3rd 2:14				

The 2021 symposium of the Digital Publics programme presents the latest work by researchers in the QUT Digital Media Research Centre that tackles these information disorders: applying innovative mixed-methods research approaches to trace the dynamics of mis- and disinformation in online and social media; exploring the role of initiatives that seek to combat the spread of problematic information; examining the public discourse around 'fake news'; and assessing regulatory approaches to mitigating the threat from mis- and disinformation.

Please join us for a one-day symposium that showcases our latest research and plots the course for the coming year!

[VIEW THE FULL PROGRAM](#)

Registration

[Online Attendee](#)

[In-person Attendee](#)

Keynote Speaker



Kate Starbird, University of Washington

Unraveling the Big Lie: Participatory Disinformation and its Threat to Democracy.

Kate Starbird is an Associate Professor at the Department of Human Centered Design & Engineering (HCDE) at the University of Washington (UW). Kate's research is situated within human-computer interaction and the emerging field of crisis informatics—the study of the how social media and other information-communication technologies are used during crisis events.

Time

(Wednesday) 9:00 AM - 5:00 PM

Location

*Online and In-person Event
In Person Location:*

[Calendar](#) [GoogleCal](#)

AUDIO TRANSCRIPTION

Page 1

1

2

3

4

5

AUDIO TRANSCRIPTION

6

IN RE: STATE OF MISSOURI, ET AL.

7

VS.

8

JOSEPH R. BIDEN, JR., ET AL.

9

CASE NO. 322CV01213

10

EVENT: DMRC 2021 DIGITAL PUBLICS SYMPOSIUM

11

NOVEMBER 17, 2021

12

13

14

15 (Due to the quality of the recorded media, portions
16 were unable to be transcribed and include inaudible
17 portions. The transcript may also include
18 misinterpreted words and/or unidentified speakers.
19 The transcriber was not present at the time of the
20 recording; therefore, this transcript should not be
21 considered verbatim.)

22

23 TRANSCRIBED BY: MELISSA LANE

24

25

LEXITAS LEGAL

www.lexitaslegal.com

Phone: 1.800.280.3376

Fax: 314.644.1334

AUDIO TRANSCRIPTION

Page 2

1 (CLIP: 18:29-19:20)

2 KATE STARBIRD: Now fast forward to 2020,
3 we saw a very different story around disinformation in
4 the U.S. election. It was largely domestic coming
5 from inside the United States. There were foreign
6 activities that were a part of these conversations,
7 but they weren't playing a major role. Most of the
8 accounts perpetrating this -- not even the accounts,
9 most of the entities perpetrating this disinformation
10 campaign as we and Benkler colleagues saw it were --
11 they're authentic accounts. They were often blue
12 check and verified accounts. They were pundits on
13 cable television shows that were who they said they
14 were along with, you know, some other anonymous
15 members of the connected crowd online, but a lot of
16 the major spreaders were blue check accounts, and it
17 wasn't entirely coordinated, but instead, it was
18 largely sort of cultivated and even organic in places
19 with everyday people creating and spreading
20 disinformation about the election.

21
22
23
24
25

AUDIO TRANSCRIPTION

Page 3

1 (CLIP: 29:30-29:49)

2 KATE STARBIRD: So we see this -- the
3 disinformation campaign was top down and Benkler
4 colleagues talk about it as a top-down elite driven
5 disinformation campaign, but this campaign was also
6 bottom up with everyday people sharing their own
7 experiences, their own misperceptions of being
8 disenfranchised or finding what they thought to be
9 evidence of voter fraud.

10 (Audio ended.)

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

AUDIO TRANSCRIPTION

Page 4

1 CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER

2

3 I, Melissa J. Lane, Certified Court
4 Reporter of Missouri, Certified Shorthand Reporter of
5 Illinois and Registered Professional Reporter, do
6 hereby certify that I was asked to prepare a
7 transcript of proceedings had in the above-mentioned
8 case, which proceedings were held with no court
9 reporter present utilizing an open microphone system
10 of preserving the record.

11 I further certify that the foregoing pages
12 constitute a true and accurate reproduction of the
13 proceedings as transcribed by me to the best of my
14 ability and may include inaudible sections or
15 misidentified speakers of said open microphone
16 recording.

17 *Melissa J. Lane*



18

19 Melissa J. Lane, CCR, CSR, RPR

20

21

22

23

24

25

AUDIO TRANSCRIPTION

A	2:15	finding 3:8	misperce...	reporter 4:1
ability 4:14	considered	foregoing	3:7	4:4, 4, 5, 9
above-me...	1:21	4:11	Missouri 1:6	reproduc...
4:7	constitute	foreign 2:5	4:4	4:12
accounts 2:8	4:12	forward 2:2	N	role 2:7
2:8, 11, 12	conversa...	fraud 3:9	NOVEMBER	RPR 4:19
2:16	2:6	further 4:11	1:11	S
accurate	coordinated	G	O	saw 2:3, 10
4:12	2:17	H	online 2:15	sections
activities	court 4:3, 8	held 4:8	open 4:9, 15	4:14
2:6	creating	I	organic 2:18	see 3:2
AL 1:6, 8	2:19	Illinois 4:5	P	sharing 3:6
and/or 1:18	crowd 2:15	inaudible	pages 4:11	Shorthand
anonymous	CSR 4:19	1:16 4:14	part 2:6	4:4
2:14	cultivated	include 1:16	people 2:19	shows 2:13
asked 4:6	2:18	1:17 4:14	3:6	sort 2:18
Audio 1:5	D	inside 2:5	perpetra...	speakers
3:10	different	J	2:8, 9	1:18 4:15
authentic	2:3	J 4:3, 19	places 2:18	spreaders
2:11	DIGITAL 1:10	JOSEPH 1:8	playing 2:7	2:16
B	disenfra...	JR 1:8	portions	spreading
Benkler 2:10	3:8	K	1:15, 17	2:19
3:3	disinfor...	KATE 2:2 3:2	prepare 4:6	STARBIRD 2:2
best 4:13	2:3, 9, 20	know 2:14	present 1:19	3:2
BIDEN 1:8	3:3, 5	L	4:9	STATE 1:6
blue 2:11, 16	DMRC 1:10	Lane 1:23	preserving	States 2:5
bottom 3:6	domestic 2:4	4:3, 19	4:10	story 2:3
C	driven 3:4	largely 2:4	proceedings	SYMPOSIUM
cable 2:13	Due 1:15	2:18	4:7, 8, 13	1:10
campaign	E	lot 2:15	Professi...	system 4:9
2:10 3:3, 5	election 2:4	M	4:5	T
3:5	2:20	major 2:7, 16	PUBLICS 1:10	talk 3:4
case 1:9 4:8	elite 3:4	media 1:15	pundits 2:12	television
CCR 4:19	ended 3:10	Melissa 1:23	Q	2:13
CERTIFICATE	entirely	4:3, 19	quality 1:15	thought 3:8
4:1	2:17	members 2:15	R	time 1:19
Certified	entities 2:9	microphone	R 1:8	top 3:3
4:3, 4	ET 1:6, 8	4:9, 15	record 4:10	top-down 3:4
certify 4:6	EVENT 1:10	misident...	recorded	transcribed
4:11	everyday	4:15	1:15	1:16, 23
check 2:12	2:19 3:6	misinter...	recording	4:13
2:16	evidence 3:9	1:18	1:20 4:16	transcriber
CLIP 2:1 3:1	experiences	misperce...	Registered	1:19
colleagues	3:7	misinter...	4:5	transcript
2:10 3:4	F	misinter...	reporter 4:1	1:17, 20
coming 2:4	fast 2:2	misinter...	4:4, 4, 5, 9	4:7
connected		misinter...	reproduc...	TRANSCRI...

AUDIO TRANSCRIPTION

1:5 true 4:12				
U				
U.S 2:4 unable 1:16 unidenti... 1:18 United 2:5 utilizing 4:9				
V				
verbatim 1:21 verified 2:12 voter 3:9 VS 1:7				
W				
wasn't 2:17 weren't 2:7 words 1:18				
X				
Y				
Z				
0				
1				
17 1:11 18:29-19:20 2:1				
2				
2020 2:2 2021 1:10, 11 29:30-29:49 3:1				
3				
322CV01213 1:9				